

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 16
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 06-Jul-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHEAST IPT SOUTH ATLANTIC BLDG 135, PO BOX 30 NAS JACKSONVILLE JACKSONVILLE FL 32212-0030	CODE N69450	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N69450-15-R-1111	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 19-Jun-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) P 423 LCS OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY USNS MAYPORT, FL RFP N69450-15-R-1111 The purpose of this amendment is to: 1. Correct typographical errors. 2. Post Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) Log dated 02 JUL 2015. 3. Post corrected attachments Continued on Page 2.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 06-Jul-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT NO. 0001

1. Post Pre-proposal Inquiry responses.
2. Correct typographical errors in block 10 of the SF-1442.

The following corrections were made to SF-1442 block 10:

Design-bid- build has been changed to design-build.

3. To correct an error in naming the solicitation attachments.

The following corrections were made:

ATTACHMENT F SMALL BUSINESS OFFEROR SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION
BREAKDOWN

Has been changed to:

ATTACHMENT H SMALL BUSINESS OFFEROR SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION
BREAKDOWN.

The corrected attachments have been uploaded to this solicitation.

Amendment No. 0001 and the following documents have been uploaded to this solicitation (N6945015R1111) on Navy Electronic Commerce Online (NECO), accessible at <https://www.neco.navy.mil/> and are incorporated into this solicitation.

The following documents have been uploaded to the “Additional Document” section of this solicitation (N6945015R1111) on NECO.

DOCUMENTS:

1. Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) Log P 423 LCS 02 JUL 2015
2. ATTACHMENT F CONSTRUCTION EXP
3. ATTACHMENT H SMALL BUSINESS OFFEROR SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION
BREAKDOWN

PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRIES (PPIs). PPIs received and Government Responses available are provided in the PPI Log, dated 02 JUL 2015, posted to FBO under Amendment No. 0001 and hereby incorporated into the solicitation. PPI responses which are pending will be provided by a future amendment.

SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

The required performance has changed from SOLICITATION FOR P423 LCS OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY, NAVAL STATION (NS) JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA (FL) This acquisition is solicited on an unrestricted basis with full and open competition. This is a Two Phase negotiated source selection procurement using the Best Value Tradeoff Source Selection Process. NAICS Code for this design-bid-build procurement is 236220. Estimated cost range: Between \$15,000,000 and \$20,000,000 NOTICE: ADDITIONAL SECURITY MEASURES HAVE BEEN INITIATED AFTER THE EVENTS OF 11 SEPTEMBER 2011. YOU SHOULD TAKE NOT ACCOUNT THE IMPACT OF THESE MEASURES IN YOUR RESPONSE TO THIS REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL. to SOLICITATION FOR P423 LCS OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY, NAVAL STATION (NS) JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA (FL) This acquisition is solicited on an unrestricted basis with full and open competition. This is a Two Phase negotiated source selection procurement using the Best Value Tradeoff Source Selection Process. NAICS Code for this design-build procurement is 236220. Estimated cost range: Between \$15,000,000 and \$20,000,000 NOTICE: ADDITIONAL SECURITY MEASURES HAVE BEEN INITIATED AFTER THE EVENTS OF 11 SEPTEMBER 2011. YOU SHOULD TAKE NOT ACCOUNT THE IMPACT OF THESE MEASURES IN YOUR RESPONSE TO THIS REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL..

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS

ATTACHMENTS:

ATTACHMENT _A_ SECNAV_5512

ATTACHMENT _B_ AMAG Base Access Request

ATTACHMENT _C_ MAP BLDG 135

ATTACHMENT _D_ PPI Log P 423 LCS – ACQ

ATTACHMENT _E_ PPQ-0

ATTACHMENT _F_ CONSTRUCTION EXP

ATTACHMENT _G_ SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN

**ATTACHMENT _H_ SMALL BUSINESS OFFEROR SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION
BREAKDOWN**

I. INTRODUCTION

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

This acquisition is solicited on an unrestricted basis with full and open competition. This is a Two Phase negotiated source selection procurement using the Best Value Tradeoff Source Selection Process. NAICS Code for this design-bid-build procurement is 236220.

B. SITE VISIT

FAR 52.236-27 SITE VISIT (CONSTRUCTION) (FEB 1995) – ALTERNATE I (FEB 1995):

- (a) The clauses at 52.236-2, Differing Site Conditions, and 52.236-3, Site Investigations and Conditions Affecting the Work, will be included in any contract awarded as a result of this solicitation. Accordingly, offerors are urged and expected to inspect the site where work will be performed.
- (b) An organized site visit will be scheduled during Phase II of the source selection.

Participants will meet at – Site visit instructions will be provided in the Phase II of the Solicitation

C. PRE PROPOSAL INQUIRIES (PPIs)

ALL INQUIRIES CONCERNING THE TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF THE ATTACHED SPECIFICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED IN WRITING, AND MUST BE RECEIVED BY THE CONTRACTING OFFICER **AT LEAST 15 DAYS PRIOR TO THE CLOSING DATE OF THE SOLICITATION** IN ORDER TO PERMIT ADEQUATE TIME TO REPLY TO THE INQUIRY. THE USE OF THE PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRY (PPI) FORM (ATTACHMENT D) TO SUBMIT YOUR INQUIRIES IS REQUIRED.

Please submit all questions in writing to: John.Bazylewicz1@navy.mil.

Individual responses will not be sent to contractors. PPI responses will be posted via the PPI Log to FedBizOps as answers become available. Numerous PPI Log updates will be made throughout the solicitation phase. It is recommended that offerors check FedBizOps periodically for posting. It is the offerors responsibility to check FedBizOps for all postings.

NAVY ELECTRONIC COMMERCE ON-LINE (NECO) Amendments will be posted directly to NECO/FedBizOpps. The posting of amendments generates an automated message to the contractor's point of contract listed in FedBizOpps, alerting them of the posting. Additionally, other postings will be made to NECO, such as the Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) Log, revised drawings, etc., under the Additional Documents section of the solicitation. Postings directly to the Additional Documents section of NECO DO NOT generate an automated alert to the contractors. It is the contractor's responsibility to check NECO for all postings.

II. PROPOSAL SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

Phase I Proposal Due Date: 2:30 PM (EDT) on 20 JULY 2015

Proposals not received by the time and date specified shall be treated in accordance with FAR 52.215-1 "Instruction to Offerors-Competitive Acquisitions" and may be rejected. The offeror's proposal must include all data and information required and must be submitted in accordance with these instructions. The offeror shall be compliant with the requirements stated herein, for non-compliance may result in your proposal being rejected as non-responsive.

In response to this Request for Proposal (RFP), Technical Proposal and Price Proposal, submitted as follows:

Phase II Proposal Due Date: To be announced by amendment after Phase I.

A. PHASE I NON- PRICE PROPOSAL

The offeror's Phase I Non-Price Proposal shall be marked "NON-PRICE PROPOSAL". Offerors are required to provide one (1) original, four (4) copies, and one (1) electronic copy (CD) of the solicitation submittal requirements identified below. The Phase I Non-Price Proposal shall include submittal requirements for Factor 1 Technical Approach, Factor 2 Experience, Factor 3 Past Performance, and Factor 4 Safety. *Offerors are encouraged to simply staple and three hole-punch proposals, and enclose them in an envelope. Binders or presentation folders are unnecessary.*

B. PHASE II NON-PRICE AND PRICE PROPOSAL

1. Non-Price

The offeror's shall submit Phase II Non- Price and Price Proposals. Non –Price Proposal shall include the submittal requirement for Factor 5 Technical Solution and Factor 6 –Energy and Sustainable Design (Factor 7 must be submitted with Price Proposal see instruction in 2. Price Proposal (below)

2. Price Proposal

Price Proposals shall be submitted separately from the non-price proposals in a separate sealed envelope marked "PRICE PROPOSAL". Offerors shall provide one (1) original, one (1) copy ,and (1) electronic copy (CD) of the Price Proposal. Offerors shall insert prices for CLIN #0001, CLIN #0002, CLIN #0003 and CLIN #0004 in the, Schedule of Supplies/Services. The prices shall be available for 90 days after the proposal due date. **Include Factor 7 Small Business Utilization with the Price Proposal submission** in a separate envelope marked "Factor 7 Small Business Utilization" *Offerors are encouraged to simply staple and three hole-punch proposals, and enclose them in an envelope. Binders or presentation folders are unnecessary.*

Offeror's shall include the following information with their technical proposal:

Authorized Negotiator's (POC) Name
 POC Telephone Number
 POC Email Address
 CAGE Code
 DUNS Number
 TIN (Taxpayer ID Number)

It is recommended that a second POC and email address also be submitted.

C. PROPOSAL PACKAGES

It is imperative proposal package(s) clearly indicate:

**LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY
 RFP N69450-15-R-1111
 ATTN: JOHN BAZYLEWICZ
 DO NOT OPEN IN MAIL ROOM**

*******Non-Price and Price Proposals shall be submitted separately within the proposal package*******

D. PROPOSAL DELIVERY

Proposals shall be mailed or delivered to:

Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southeast
 ATTN: John Bazylewicz, IPT South Atlantic
 Naval Air Station Jacksonville
 Building 135N Ajax Street
 Jacksonville, FL 32212

Hand Delivered Proposals:

Ultimately, it is the contractor's responsibility to ensure timely proposal submission to the specified location. Due to heightened security, it is recommended that you allow plenty of time to get into the building and turn in your proposal. If you are hand delivering your proposal and do not already have a vehicle pass to access the base, you **MUST** submit an AMAG form, located in Attachment B, for the individual who will be "driving a vehicle" onto the base, and any passengers. Forms must be submitted via email as an attachment no later than **7 calendar days** prior to the closing date. The AMAG Form must be submitted to John Bazylewicz at John.Bazylewicz1@navy.mil.

The submitted AMAG form is only good for the proposal due date. If the proposal due date is extended, you must submit a new AMAG Form. If any other information listed on a submitted AMAG form changes (name, driver license information, address etc.), please submit a new AMAG form with the updated information. Vehicles without a pass will not be permitted on the base. Vehicle pass requests after the deadline will not be accepted. Vehicle drivers must stop at the security and pass office to obtain their vehicle pass.

In addition to the AMAG form a SECNAV 5512 APPROVED AC PASS ID FORM (Attachment A) is required for access to Naval Air Station Jacksonville: Contractors, vendors, workers, and visitors must fill out and bring the SECNAV 5512 APPROVED AC PASS ID FORM in order to gain access to the base. **DO NOT SIGN** the form. A completed form must be brought with the required supporting documentation to the Pass and ID office where the form will be **signed in front of a government witness**. If the proper documents are not provided with the SECNAV 5512, Security will not be issue a pass. The visitor must show one (1) document from List A OR one (1) Document from List B establishing identity AND one (1) from List C establishing employability. Please see page 3 of Attachment A for complete instructions.

You must have a picture ID, vehicle registration, and proof of insurance. The Security and Pass Office is located at the Main Gate, Yorktown Gate, 1st building on your right. Please arrive early as it may take some time to get the vehicle pass. All passengers in the vehicle must have a picture ID. Be advised that ALL visitors entering NAS Jacksonville are subject to a background investigation. Visitors will be asked to fill out additional paperwork and Security will make a copy of their Drivers License. If the visitor refuses the investigation, they will be denied access to the base.

All visitors **MUST** know the name of the command they are visiting NAVFAC (Naval Facilities Engineering Command) and **MUST** provide the last six numbers of their SSN to the security clerk at the Pass & ID window.

A. BASIS FOR AWARD

1. In accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) the Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the offeror submitting the proposal determined to represent the best value—the proposal most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered.

2. As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

3. The tradeoff process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition. The Government considers it to be in its best interest to allow consideration of award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror.

4. As stated in the solicitation, all technical factors when combined are of equal importance to the performance confidence assessment (past performance) rating; and all technical factors and the performance confidence assessment (past performance) rating, when combined are approximately equal to price.

5. Any proposal found to have a deficiency in meeting the stated solicitation requirements or performance objectives will be considered ineligible for award, unless the deficiency is corrected through discussions. Proposals may be found to have either a significant weakness or multiple weaknesses that impact either the individual factor rating or the overall rating for the proposal.

B. Intentionally left blank

C. Intentionally left blank

D. Intentionally left blank

E. Intentionally left blank

F. Intentionally left blank

EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

1. The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-cost/price factors: Factors 1-4, will be evaluated in Phase I and Factors 5-7 will be evaluated in Phase II. Factor 1 will only be rated Acceptable or Unacceptable. If an Offeror is rated Unacceptable in Factor 1, then they will not be considered for Phase II. In making the best value award decision after Phase II, the government will consider all non-cost/price/technical factors and price. The relative order of importance of the non-cost/price evaluation factors is that technical factors are equal to each other and when combined are equal importance to the performance confidence assessment (past performance). The combined non-cost/price factors are approximately equal to price.

Phase I:

Factor 1 – Technical Approach

Factor 2 – Experience

Factor 3 – Past Performance

Factor 4 – Safety

Phase II:

Factor 5 – Technical Solution

Factor 6 – Energy and Sustainable Design

Factor 7 – Small Business Utilization

Factor 1 – Technical Approach:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The composition and management of the firms proposed as the design-build (DB) team for this contract will be evaluated in this factor.

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

(1) Provide a narrative describing the proposed primary construction firms and primary design firms for this contract and the rationale for proposing this arrangement. Provide the role, responsibilities, and contractual relationships between the various firms (see FAR Subpart 9.6). The narrative shall also include a simple organizational chart that clearly identifies the lines of authority between the entities. If the experience of an entity is being claimed in Factor 2, that entity must be named in the above narrative and organizational chart.

(2) The technical approach narrative shall be limited to one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages) including the organizational chart. The information requested in item #2 below is not included in this page limitation.

(3) Offerors shall submit a printout (a screenshot or print screen) from the JCP website <http://www.dlis.dla.mil/jcp/search.aspx> showing the offeror's JCP registration status as certified. Offerors must be registered and have a JCP certification number and the offeror must appear in the JCP database located at Defense Logistics Agency Joint Certification search <http://www.dlis.dla.mil/jcp/search.aspx>.

(4) In addition to the narrative, the Offeror shall submit a signed copy of a joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, or letter of commitment for each member of the Offeror's team

identified above (e.g., joint venture member, partner, team member, parent company, subsidiary, or other affiliated company, etc.).

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The assessment of the Offeror's technical approach will be used as a means to evaluate the organizational structure and teaming relationships proposed by the Offeror. This factor will be rated on an Acceptable or Unacceptable basis. Failure to register and be certified with JCP **and** submit proof of certification may result in an offeror receiving an unacceptable rating for this factor.

Factor 2 – Experience:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

(1) Construction Experience:

Submit Construction Experience for a minimum of two (2) to a maximum of five (5) construction projects for the offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope and complexity to the RFP. At least one (1) of the relevant construction projects submitted must demonstrate coordination with a manufacturer for installation of large scale training equipment. Relevant projects, for purposes of this evaluation are defined as: a new construction or major renovation of a training facility, performed as the prime contractor, with a construction value of \$10M and greater and have been completed within the last seven (7) years of date of issuance of this RFP.

A submitted project must demonstrate that it is a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project. The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment F) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects that were validated and/or certified through U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) or the equivalent organization or process.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects may be submitted for either of the Joint Venture members. Offerors who fail to submit experience for all Joint Venture members may be rated lower. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) construction projects combined.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract.

(2) Design Experience

Submit Design Experience for a minimum of two (2) to a maximum of five (5) design projects for the offeror that best demonstrates experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope and complexity to the RFP. At least one (1) of the relevant design projects submitted must demonstrate coordination with a manufacturer for design of large scale training equipment. Relevant projects, for purposes of this evaluation are defined as: a new construction

or major renovation of a training facility, with a construction value of \$10M and greater and have been completed within the last seven (7) years of date of issuance of this RFP.

A submitted project must demonstrate that it is a complete design effort performed under a single task order or contract/subcontract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment F) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects that were validated and/or certified through U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) or the equivalent organization or process.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract.

The Offeror may utilize experience of a design subcontractor to demonstrate design experience under this evaluation factor. The Offer must provide a supporting joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, or letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement for the design subcontractor.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction and design projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five projects for construction and five for design. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience and five (5) for Design Experience will not be considered. Relevant projects where the Offeror and the proposed design firm(s) have previously worked together may be considered more favorably than those that have not worked together.

Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with Department of Defense construction contracts may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with Department of Defense construction contracts.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with sustainable features may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with sustainable features. Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with specialized building features that require close coordination with third-party system designers during design and construction may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with specialized building features that require close coordination with third-party system designers during design and construction. Examples of specialized building features include training simulators, embedded hospital equipment, secure information storage, and similar features that require custom-built facilities prescribed by third-party entities. Offerors who submit relevant projects that demonstrate experience self-performing relevant features of work may receive a higher rating than those who do not demonstrate self-performance.

Factor 3 – Past Performance:**(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

IF A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION IS AVAILABLE, IT SHALL BE SUBMITTED WITH THE PROPOSAL. IF THERE IS NOT A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION, the Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) included in the solicitation is provided for the offeror or its team members to submit to the client for each project the offeror includes in its proposal for Factor 2 Experience, and insert factor title, usually Experience). AN OFFEROR SHALL NOT SUBMIT A PPQ WHEN A COMPLETED CPARS IS AVAILABLE.

IF A CPARS EVALUATION IS NOT AVAILABLE, ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact. Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ (Attachment E), which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, John Bazylewicz, via email at John.Bazylewicz1@navy.mil prior to proposal closing date. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs or CPARS previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation.

Also include performance recognition documents received within the last five (5) years such as awards, award fee determinations, customer letters of commendation, and any other forms of performance recognition.

In addition to the above, the Government may review any other sources of information for evaluating past performance. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of team members (partnership, joint venture, teaming arrangement, or parent company/subsidiary/affiliate) identified in the offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the offeror.

While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the Offeror.

A copy of the blank Past Performance Questionnaire to be used for requesting client references is included as Attachment E.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The degree to which past performance evaluations and all other past performance information reviewed by the Government (e.g., PPIRS, Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), performance recognition documents, and information obtained from any other source) reflect a trend of satisfactory performance considering:

- A pattern of successful completion of tasks;
- A pattern of deliverables that are timely and of good quality;
- A pattern of cooperativeness and teamwork with the Government at all levels (task managers, contracting officers, auditors, etc.);

- Recency of tasks performed that are identical to, similar to, or related to the task at hand; and
- A respect for stewardship of Government funds

Factor 4 – Safety

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each Contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

For the three (3) previous complete calendar years (2012, 2013, 2014), submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three (3) year period). If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the three (3) previous complete calendar years (2012, 2013, 2014), submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. Also, describe any innovative methods that the Offeror will employ to ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels. The Safety narrative shall be limited to two pages.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record, the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, any and innovative safety methods that the Offeror plans to implement for this procurement. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Enterprise Safety Applications Management System (ESAMS), and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. The Government will also evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which innovations are being proposed that may enhance safety on this procurement. Those Offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety and who propose innovative methods to enhance a safe working environment may be given greater weight in the evaluation.

Factor 5 – Technical Solution

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Provide a schedule that shows how the Offeror will accomplish all design and construction activities required within the established period of performance of the project.

Do not submit any additional information (i.e. conceptual building floor plans, elevations, site circulation plan, etc.).

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the schedule considering the extent to which the Offeror demonstrates a clear understanding of CPM scheduling method and the project management requirements of a Design/Build contract with NAVFAC.

Factor 6 – Energy and Sustainable Design

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Provide the following information, which describes how the project will meet or exceed the following sustainable design contract requirements.

(1) EPA 2005 Energy Efficiency Narrative:

Using the guidance outlined in Part 3 of this RFP, provide a detailed narrative to describe whether the proposed solution will meet or exceed the goal of a 30% energy reduction using the ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2010, Appendix G, Building Performance Rating Method, excluding receptacle and process loads. Provide the proposed percent energy reduction. Provide the assumptions the Offeror will use to obtain a high-performance building, which will comply with these energy reduction goals. Describe the Offeror's proposed building with regards to fenestration, solar heat gain coefficients (SHGC), wall and roof insulation values (U-values), HVAC systems, water heating systems, lighting systems, and control systems. Organize/divide the assumptions into three areas; building envelope, mechanical systems, and electrical systems. If the Offeror cannot achieve the 30% reduction within the budget identified, the Offeror shall state what percent energy reduction is proposed within their proposal. Do not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages). Note: Building performance rating and percent energy reduction are calculated in terms of energy rather than energy cost.

(1a) Whole Building Energy Simulation:

Provide a Whole Building Energy Simulation summary following the procedure outlined in ASHRAE 90.1 (2010) ~ ENERGY STANDARD FOR BUILDINGS, Appendix G ~ PERFORMANCE RATING METHOD (PRM) to demonstrate the percentage improvement in the "Proposed Building Performance Rating"; except the formula for calculating the Performance Rating in paragraph G1.2 shall read as follows:

Percentage improvement = $100 \times ((\text{Baseline building consumption} - \text{Receptacle and process loads}) - (\text{Proposed building consumption} - \text{Receptacle and process loads})) / (\text{Baseline building consumption} - \text{Receptacle and process loads})$

Refer to Part 6 of the RFP for Government prepared ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G baseline energy model. Contractor shall use energy model input reports located in Part 6 to replicate Government prepared baseline energy model. For solicitation purposes, energy model shall be assumed that High Bay area is conditioned at all occupied times.

(2) Life Cycle Cost Analysis:

Provide a life cycle cost analysis for the proposer's building mechanical systems which will meet or exceed the goal of a 30% energy budget reduction compared with the ASHRAE Std 90.1-2010 baseline energy budget, following the procedures outlined in ASHRAE Std. 90.1-2010, Appendix G. If a goal of 30% cannot be attained, indicate the most efficient mechanical system (state the percentage). Provide Building Life-Cycle Cost (BLCC5) program output.

(3a) Sustainable Design Goals (Guiding Principles Compliance):

Provide a preliminary Sustainability Action Plan that addresses how the Offeror will satisfy each of the Guiding Principles for Federal High Performance and Sustainable Buildings (HPSB) in accordance with UFC1-200-02. Provide a narrative to describe how the design team will use an integrated design process across technical disciplines to meet and optimize the Guiding Principles sustainable requirements.

(3b) Sustainable Design Goals (LEED Certification):

Provide narrative information that describes how the project will incorporate the LEED sustainable design goals. Provide a LEED scorecard and summary narrative of specific LEED strategies, prerequisites, and credits for LEED-Silver certification.

(c) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's response to the Energy and Sustainable Design Factor considering the proposed energy savings.

EPAct 2005 Energy Efficiency Narrative: The Government will evaluate the Offeror's proposed energy budget reduction relative to EPAct 2005 energy efficiency goals, including evaluation of assumptions to determine their ability to achieve project goals.

Whole Building Energy Simulation: The Government will evaluate the Whole Building Energy Simulation to determine the validity of the design assumptions, thoroughness of the Energy Simulation, and the percent improvement above the Government's "Baseline Building Performance Rating".

Life Cycle Cost Analysis: The Government will evaluate the Life Cycle Cost Analysis to determine that the proposer's building mechanical systems meet or exceeds the goal of 30% energy budget reduction compared with ASHRAE Std 90.1 baseline energy budget. The evaluation will include determining the validity of the design assumptions and the thoroughness of the Life Cycle Cost Analysis performed.

Sustainable Design Goals: The Government will evaluate the Sustainability Action Plan for thoroughness in how each Guiding Principle requirement will be addressed. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's approach to an integrated design process. The Government will evaluate the LEED scorecard and summary of LEED strategies, prerequisites and credits for LEED-Silver certification.

Factor 7 – Small Business Utilization

Definitions: "SB" as used herein, is intended to include Small Business concerns, Small Disadvantaged Business concerns (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business concerns (WOSB), Historically Underutilized Business Zone Small Business concerns (HUBZone), Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (VOSB), and Service-Disabled

Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (SDVOSB). All small business programs are self-certifying programs with the exception of HUBZone certifications, see HUBZone SB Certifications below. Small Business Program requirements and definitions may be found in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), Part 19.

HUBZone SB Certifications: Offerors are reminded that HUBZone SB concerns must obtain formal certification from the Small Business Administration (SBA) if they expect to receive the evaluation benefits associated with the HUBZone SB programs either as a prime or subcontractor(s). For more information on the HUBZone SB certification requirements and available benefits, contact your local SBA representative. Certified HUBZone SB firms are listed on the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) website at www.ccr.gov. It is the responsibility of the prime contractor to periodically check the CCR as certifications are subject to change.

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Identify in terms of dollar value and percentage of the total acquisition, the extent of work you will perform as the prime contractor. If submitting an offer as a Joint-Venture, identify the percentage of work each member will be responsible for and indicate the size status of each member, e.g., LB, SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, etc. If you are a Large Business, submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan for this project in the format provided in Attachment G for this factor, to include all information required in the attachment. If you are a Small Business, submit a subcontracting participation breakdown in the format provided in Attachment H for this factor. All proposers: To demonstrate commitment in using small business concerns, the Small Business Subcontracting Plan or subcontracting participation breakdown may list all subcontractors by name. If the proposed Small Business Subcontracting goals do not meet the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, include a detailed explanation describing the actions taken to arrive at that determination, along with an explanation for the goals that actually were proposed.

(b) Basis of Evaluation:

The following will be evaluated on all proposals:

- a. The extent to which the proposal demonstrates maximum practicable participation of SBs in terms of the total value of the acquisition, including options.
- b. The extent to which the proposal demonstrates a commitment to use SB concerns that are specifically identified in the proposal, including but not limited to use of mentor protégé programs.
- c. The extent to which the proposal demonstrates SB participation in a variety of industries expected during the performance of work.
- d. The realism of the proposal to meet the proposed goals.

The following will be evaluated on proposals submitted by Large Business firms:

- a. The extent to which the proposal provides Small Business Subcontracting goals that meet or exceed the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, and utilization of AbilityOne CRP organizations. Proposals that provide goals exceeding the NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets may be rated higher. The proposed goals and NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracted values. The minimum NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are as follows:

	FY 2015	FY2016
SB	66.80%	66.94%
SDB	17.27%	17.44%

WOSB	15.30%	15.45
HUBZone	8.94%	9.03%
SDVOSB	3.03%	3.06%

b. The extent to which the proposer's Small Business Subcontracting Plan establishes reasonable efforts demonstrating the subcontracting targets can be met during the performance of the contract:

Note: For the purpose of evaluation, offerors will only be evaluated based on the FY15 targets. However, once the project is awarded, the minimum NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets will increase each FY and the awardee should increase their small business subcontracting participation to meet or exceed those minimum requirements

Price Proposal:

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The offeror's Price Proposal shall be submitted separately from the technical proposals in a separate sealed envelope marked "PRICE PROPOSAL". On the original, Offerors shall insert prices for CLIN 0001, CLIN 0002 and CLIN 0003, on page 2 of the SF 1442, as well as a percentage for the Handling and Administrative Rate percentage in the Schedule of Supplies/Services.

Prices are not required to be inserted in the schedule of supplies and service on the, copy and electronic copy (CD), all other price proposal information is required on electronic copy.

- (1) Price proposals shall be submitted separately from the non-price proposals. Provide one (1) original, one (1) copy, and one (1) electronic copy of your price proposal.
- (2) Price shall be considered available for award for 90 calendar days from the proposal due date. Executed SF 1442
- (3) Acknowledgement of all amendments (if applicable) on Page 2 of the SF 1442.
- (4) Ensure current registration on the SAM Website
- (5) Ensure that you have completed/updated your Annual Vets 100 Report on Website, <http://vets.dol.gov/vets100>. Provide a copy of the Annual Vets 100 Registration.

(2) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate price based on the total price. Total price consists of the basic requirements and all option items. The Government intends to evaluate all options and has included the provision FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options (JUL 1990) in the solicitation. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the options. Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- (i) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
- (ii) Comparison of proposed prices with the IGE.
- (iii) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.

In accordance with FAR 52.219-4, a price evaluation preference will be given to offerors certified by the U.S. Small Business Administration as a HUBZone Small Business Concerns.

A copy of the blank forms to be used for offeror submission of Small Business Utilization are included as follows:

ATTACHMENT G – SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN.

ATTACHMENT H – SMALL BUSINESS OFFEROR SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION
BREAKDOWN

(End of Summary of Changes)