

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 10
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0004	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 29-Dec-2014	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. ACQR3870806		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHEAST FSC-BOS BUILDING 903, PO BOX 30 JACKSONVILLE FL 32212-0030	CODE N69450	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N69450-15-R-2104	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 21-Nov-2014	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) N69450-15-R-2104 Panama City & NOSC Tallahassee BOS Services See BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE on Page 2.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 29-Dec-2014

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following items are applicable to this modification:AMENDMENT 0004

This Amendment includes the following change:

- a. Section M – Non/Price/Technical Factor 3, Safety has been revised to delete all references giving greater weight in the evaluation (i.e. lower rates, subcontractors with a commitment to safety). Changes are annotated by strikethrough as follows:

(3)Factor 3, Safety:

(a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements: The offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

a. Experience Modification Rate (EMR): For the three previous complete calendar years [2011, 2012, 2013], submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three year period). If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. ~~Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.~~

b. OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate: For the three previous complete calendar years [2011, 2012, 2013], submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. ~~Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.~~

c. Technical Approach for Safety: Describe the plan that the offeror will implement to evaluate safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. Also, describe any innovative methods that the offeror will employ to ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels. The Safety Narrative shall be limited to two pages.

Basis of Evaluation: The Government is seeking to determine that the offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the offeror's overall safety record, the offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, any and innovative safety methods that the offeror plans to implement for this procurement. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Facility Accident and Incident Reporting (FAIR) database, and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

(i) Experience Modification Rate (EMR): The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into

account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating. ~~Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.~~

(ii) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate: The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. ~~Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.~~

(iii) Technical Approach to Safety: The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. The Government will also evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which innovations are being proposed that may enhance safety on this procurement. ~~Those offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety and who propose innovative methods to enhance a safe working environment may be given greater weight in the evaluation.~~

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

The proposal due date and time remains 2:00 EST, Thursday 8 January, 2015.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

The following have been modified:

EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

BASIS FOR AWARD

1. In accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation, the Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the offeror submitting the lowest priced, technically acceptable offer.
2. As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

3. The LPTA process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition because the best value is expected to result from selection of the technically acceptable proposal with the lowest evaluated price.
4. An overall non-price factors rating must be at least "ACCEPTABLE" in order to be eligible for award. An "UNACCEPTABLE" rating in any factor results in the overall non-price factors proposal being rated "UNACCEPTABLE" unless corrected through discussions. An overall non-price factors rating of "UNACCEPTABLE" makes a proposal ineligible for award.

EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-price factors:

- Factor 1 – Corporate Experience
- Factor 2 – Management & Technical Approach
- Factor 3 – Safety
- Factor 4 – Past Performance

The distinction between corporate experience and past performance is corporate experience pertains to the types of work and volume of work completed by a contractor that are comparable to the types of work covered by this requirement, in terms of size, scope, and complexity. Past performance pertains to both the relevance of recent efforts and how well a contractor has performed on the contracts.

Basis of Evaluation and Submittal Requirements for Each Factor.

(a) Price:

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

- a. Provide completed Section B, Supplies or Services and Prices, of the solicitation.
- b. Provide a completed Section J Exhibit Line Item Number (ELIN) Pricing Attachment. There are multiple worksheets within this file that require completion.
 - (a) Offerors shall submit pricing data (Section B and Section J Exhibit Line Item Numbers Attachment) in hard copy and in EXCEL (Microsoft Office Version 2007 compatible) format on a CD-ROM. Offerors may utilize the pricing attachments in EXCEL (Microsoft Office Version 2007 compatible) format in Section J for submission of pricing data. Because the pricing evaluation will concentrate on the electronic files submitted, in the event of a discrepancy between the proposal hard copies and the proposal electronic copies, the proposal electronic copies will take precedence.

Basis of Evaluation: The Government will evaluate price based on the total price. Total price consists of the basic requirements and all option items (see Section B of the solicitation). The Government intends to evaluate all options and has included the provision FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options (JUL 1990) in Section M of the solicitation. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- (a) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
- (b) Comparison of proposed prices with the IGCE.
- (c) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.
- (d) Comparison of market survey results.

(2) The Government will evaluate remaining pricing submittals to ensure the following:

- (a) Pricing submitted within the Section B and Section J Exhibit Line Item Number (ELIN) Pricing is consistent.
- (b) Fair and reasonable ELIN/unit pricing and identification of unbalanced pricing as appropriate.

(b) Technical Factors:

(1) Factor 1, Corporate Experience:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements: The offeror shall submit at least one (1) and no more than five (5) examples of recent, relevant projects that the offeror (including joint venture and partnerships) completed and served as the prime contractor. Relevant projects include those that demonstrate the capability to perform services similar in size, scope, and complexity as described in the statement of work/specifications of the RFP with a contract value of approximately \$1,000,000.00 per year or greater and shall be: 1) ongoing with at least 12 months of contract performance completed by the date of receipt of proposals, or 2) shall be completed, within the last five (5) years. For each project the offeror shall provide:

- (a) Contract number, title, location, and original award date.
- (b) Type of Contract (i.e. fixed price, cost reimbursable, etc.)
- (c) Client points of contact with current telephone and facsimile numbers, and electronic mail addresses if available (Confidential clients are not acceptable and will result in non-consideration of the project). Failure to provide an acceptable point of contact and a correct telephone number may result in a lower rating.
- (d) Description of work (service) performed- similar scope, size and complexity.
- (e) Describe the relevance of this project to this solicitation.
- (f) Percentage of the work that your firm subcontracted out.
- (g) Provide the final/revised contract completion date.
- (h) Dollar value; annually and contract life.

An offeror may rely on the prime contractor experience of corporate affiliates (e.g., subsidiaries, sister companies and parent companies) to demonstrate experience, providing the offeror submits the following:

- (a) A one-page narrative clearly demonstrating that the corporate affiliates will have meaningful participation in the project by identifying the personnel or resources from the corporate affiliates that will be dedicated to the project; and

(b) An organization chart that demonstrates the corporate relationship of the affiliates.

(ii) **Basis of Evaluation:** For experience, the Government seeks to evaluate the relevant experience of the offeror as a prime contractor directly responsible to the owner; managing multiple subcontractors and coordinating with multiple stakeholders. Projects completed by the offeror or its corporate affiliates (e.g., subsidiaries, sister companies, and parent companies) in any capability other than a prime contractor will be considered unacceptable. Projects completed by a proposed subcontractor will be considered unacceptable. Projects ongoing with less than 12 months of contract performance completed by the date of receipt of proposals, or projects completed more than five (5) years prior to the issuance of the RFP will be considered unacceptable. Projects with a total value below \$1,000,000.00 per year will be considered unacceptable. The prime contractor does not have to self-perform all the work identified in the PWS; however, the prime contractor must demonstrate that it has managed projects that included these services. If the offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), each member of the JV must demonstrate prime contractor experience on at least one relevant project. If each member of the Joint Venture does not have at least one relevant experience project, then the offeror will be considered unacceptable. For multiple award contracts (MACs) or indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity (ID/IQ) contracts, the specific relevant task order(s) that constitute a single project on the same site, not the entire MAC or ID/IQ contract, will be considered a project for evaluation purposes.

(2) Factor 2, Management and Technical Approach

Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The offeror shall clearly demonstrate its understanding of current industry standards, policies, procedures, and processes utilized in accomplishing the complexity and magnitude of service requirements set forth in the performance objectives and standards of the Performance Work Statement. The offeror shall address each of the following components separately:

- (a) **Workforce Management.** Provide and explain a plan to organize, manage, and supervise workforce personnel to accomplish the requirements of this contract. The plan shall include an organization chart identifying the on-site organization and staff that will be used to perform this contract as well as the lines of management authority, supervision, span of control, and accountability, including the relationship between overall management (corporate and on-site), administration, sub-annex area organizations, and subcontractors. The plan's organization chart shall include all direct and indirect FTEs and labor hours. The plan shall identify the function(s) of the various groups of the organization, direct and indirect staffing and associated trade classifications with skill level (journeyman, etc.) consistent with the FTEs and labor hours provided in Section J Attachment JB1 FTE. The plan shall indicate the number of productive hours per year for each full time and part time employee.
- (b) Describe staffing levels for the entire contract effort. Provide a completed summary Attachment JB1 FTE for the total effort to include the prime contractor and all subcontractors for the Base Period. In summary, provide the rationale for the Basis of Estimate (BOE) including each specification item listed in Section J Attachment JB1 FTE. BOE shall include individual labor classifications, annual direct and indirect labor hours, and FTEs for

performing the service requirements. Detailed estimate worksheets are not required.

- (c) Include the methodology to be implemented to accomplish the performance requirements in each annex/sub-annex and detail how the proposed approach will effectively achieve each performance objective and standard. The offeror's methodology shall demonstrate an approach to performing all requirements for the following annexes/sub-annexes:

- 1501000 Facility Management
- 1502000 Facility Investment
- 1503020 Pest Control
- 1503040 Other (Swimming Pools)
- 1503050 Grounds Maintenance
- 1503060 Pavement Clearance
- 1800000 Environmental

Each spec item for each annex/sub-annex shall be address within the offeror's methodology. Copies of certificates and licenses are not required. The offeror's methodology shall include the offeror's acknowledgement of ensuring that each identified certification, training, and licensing are met. The offeror's methodology clearly demonstrates an understanding of current industry standards, policies, procedures, and processes utilized in accomplishing the complexity and magnitude of service requirements set forth in the performance objectives and standards of the Performance Work Statement.

- (d) Scheduling. Provide the methodology used (i.e. management indicators) to determine if/when there is a need to adjust the recurring work schedule. Describe scheduling procedures that will minimize interference with normal occurrence of Government business and the flexibility to adjust schedules to allow for access outside regular working hours and the flexibility to adjust to workload fluctuations. Describe procedures to manage foreseeable fluctuations in workload, for handling workload surges for IDIQ orders and natural disasters that could occur.
- (e) Quality Control Management. Provide a summary "Quality Control Plan" (QC), i.e. the quality processes (practices, resources, and activities) and minimum controls that will be used to ensure full compliance with all performance objectives and standards as described in Section C 0200000 Management and Administration. Describe the methods to be used to document, measure, and control and improve the quality processes. Describe the plan for training employees in the quality processes. Limit the Quality Control Management submission to no more than five (5) pages.
- (f) Phase-In and Phase-Out Plans. Provide an approach to each phase-in and phase-out plan. The phase-in plan is limited to no more than 30 calendar days. Each plan shall include: 1) a schedule for all key events; 2) personnel actions and responsibilities regarding employees at all levels; acquisition,

delivery, storage, inventory and disposal of equipment, working stock, and materials (to include inbound items as applicable).

- (g) Energy Management. Describe procedures to comply with CNRSEINST 4101.1 Energy Management requirements. CNRSEINST 4101.1 is provided as an attachment in Section J. Proposal shall address approach for instilling a culture of conservation and use of technology and influence over operations to execute work requirements at the lowest possible energy use and cost. Include approach for complying with Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) energy efficient, water (Water Sense) conserving, and low power standby power product type selection and use.

Basis of Evaluation: This factor will be evaluated as an overall factor with no sub-factors. The standard is met when the offeror demonstrates an acceptable understanding of current industry standards, policies, procedures, and processes utilized in accomplishing the complexity and magnitude of service requirements set forth in the performance objectives and standards of the Performance Work Statement. The Government will evaluate the proposal to ensure the offeror's approach demonstrates the following: (1) adequate staffing levels supported by a reasonable Basis of Estimate (BOE); (2) an approach/methodology to effectively achieve performance objectives and standards that reflects the best industry and/or commercial practices for each performance objective and standard; (3) acknowledgement of ensuring that each identified certification, training, and licensing are met; (4) an approach that demonstrates an effective and resource efficient approach to workforce management, scheduling, quality control, phase-in and phase-out plans, and energy management.

(3)Factor 3, Safety:

- (a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements: The offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)
- a. Experience Modification Rate (EMR): For the three previous complete calendar years [2011, 2012, 2013], submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three year period). If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element.
 - b. OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate: For the three previous complete calendar years [2011, 2012, 2013], submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element.

- c. **Technical Approach for Safety:** Describe the plan that the offeror will implement to evaluate safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. Also, describe any innovative methods that the offeror will employ to ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels. The Safety Narrative shall be limited to two pages.

Basis of Evaluation: The Government is seeking to determine that the offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the offeror's overall safety record, the offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, any and innovative safety methods that the offeror plans to implement for this procurement. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Facility Accident and Incident Reporting (FAIR) database, and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
 - OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
 - Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
 - Other sources of information available to the Government
- (i) **Experience Modification Rate (EMR):** The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating.
 - (ii) **OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:** The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates.
 - (iii) **Technical Approach to Safety:** The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. The Government will also evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which innovations are being proposed that may enhance safety on this procurement.

(4)Factor 4, Past Performance

- (a) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

FOR EACH PROJECT IDENTIFIED IN RESPONSE TO FACTOR 1, IF A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION IS AVAILABLE, IT SHALL BE SUBMITTED WITH THE PROPOSAL. If there is not a completed CPARS evaluation, the Past Performance Questionnaire

(PPQ) included in the solicitation is provided for the offeror or its team members to submit to the client for each project the offeror includes in its proposal for Factor 1, Corporate Experience. AN OFFEROR SHALL NOT SUBMIT A PPQ WHEN A COMPLETED CPARS IS AVAILABLE.

If a CPARS evaluation is not available, ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact. Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ (Attachment C, N6945015R2104-1PPQ), which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Robert Garske or Rebecca Jones via email at robert.garske@navy.mil or rebecca.m.jones@navy.mil prior to proposal closing date. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs or CPARS previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation.

Also, include performance recognition documents received within the last five (5) years such as awards, award fee determinations, customer letters of commendation, and any other forms of performance recognition.

In addition to the above, the Government may review any other sources of information for evaluating past performance. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of team members (partnership, joint venture, teaming arrangement, or parent company/subsidiary/affiliate) identified in the offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), and any other known sources not provided by the offeror.

While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the offeror.

Basis of Evaluation: The degree to which past performance evaluations and all other past performance information reviewed by the Government (e.g., PPIRS, Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), performance recognition documents, and information obtained from any other source) reflect a trend of satisfactory performance considering:

- A pattern of successful completion of tasks;
- A pattern of deliverables that are timely and of good quality;
- A pattern of cooperativeness and teamwork with the Government at all levels (task managers, contracting officers, auditors, etc.);
- Recency of tasks performed that are identical to, similar to, or related to the task at hand; and
- A respect for stewardship of Government funds

(End of Summary of Changes)