

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 17
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 21-Jun-2016	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHEAST IPT SOUTH ATLANTIC BLDG 135, PO BOX 30 NAS JACKSONVILLE JACKSONVILLE FL 32212-0030	CODE N69450	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N69450-16-R-1103	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 03-Jun-2016	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Solicitation No. N69450-16-R-1103 Triton Mission Control Facility P662 Design-Bid-Build at Naval Air Station Jacksonville FL. The purpose of this amendment is to provide and incorporates the following: 1. Pre-Proposal Meeting / Site Visit Agenda Minutes and Attendance Roster. 2. Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) Log dated 21 June 2016. 3. Revisions to the solicitation Section 00100 for submission of Pre-Proposal Inquiries (PPIs). Amendment No. 0002 is continued on Page 2.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED 21-Jun-2016	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT NO. 0002

Solicitation No. N69450-16-R-1103 Triton Mission Control Facility P662 Design-Bid-Build at Naval Air Station Jacksonville FL.

The purpose of this amendment is to provide and incorporates the following:

1. Pre-Proposal Meeting / Site Visit Agenda Minutes and Attendance Roster.
2. Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) Log dated 21 June 2016.
3. Revisions to the solicitation Section 00100 BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS. The only change to this section is adding submission of Pre-Proposal Inquiries (PPIs) to Camilla Baker at camilla.baker@navy.mil as well as to dianne.haymans@navy.mil to the paragraph PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRIES (PPIs).

Amendment No. 0002 and the following documents have been uploaded to this solicitation (N6945016R1103) on NECO, accessible at <https://www.neco.navy.mil/> and are hereby incorporated into this solicitation

The following documents have been uploaded to the "Additional Documents" section of this solicitation (N6945016R1103) on NECO:

Documents:

1. Pre-Proposal Meeting / Site Visit Agenda Minutes
2. Pre-Proposal Meeting / Site Visit Attendance Roster
3. Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) Log dated 21 June 2016

Acknowledgement of this amendment confirms receipt of all documents listed.

The hour and date specified for receipt of offers remains unchanged.

All other terms and conditions of the solicitation are unchanged and remain in effect.

Acknowledgement of Amendments No. 0001 through 0002 is required with your proposal.

(End of Amendment No. 0002)

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

INFORMATION TO OFFERORS**SCHEDULE OF SERVICES**

CLIN 0001 (Base Bid)	Triton Mission Control Facility P662 Design-Bid-Build	\$ _____
CLIN 0002 (Option)	Security System Installation for Triton Mission Control Facility P662	\$ _____
CLIN 0003 (Planned Modification)	Procurement of Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment (FF&E) for Base Bid Triton Mission Control Facility P662 Design-Bid-Build	
	Handling and Administrative Rate (HAR) Not to Exceed 5% (enter percentage) _____percent multiplied by \$263,340 (FF&E Budget) equals HAR Amount.	
	HAR Amount from above (enter dollar amount not percentage)	\$ _____
Total Price for the sum of CLINS 0001, 0002, and 0003		\$ _____

INFORMATION TO OFFERORS

ATTACHMENTS: (Posted to NECO)

- Attachment A – Pre-Proposal Log Template (EXCEL)
- Attachment B – NAS JAX Base Access AMAG Form (EXCEL)
- Attachment C – Construction Experience Project Data Sheet
- Attachment D – Small Business Past Performance
- Attachment E – Small Business Subcontracting Plan
- Attachment F – Small Business Offeror Small Business Participation Breakdown
- Attachment G – NAVFAC/USACE Past Performance Questionnaire (Form PPQ-0)
- Attachment H – SECNAV 5512

PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRIES (PPIs)

All inquiries (PPIs) must be submitted in writing and received by the Contract Specialist AT LEAST 15 CALENDAR DAYS PRIOR TO THE CLOSING DATE OF THE SOLICITATION in order to permit adequate time to reply to the inquiry. Submit all questions to Dianne L. Haymans at dianne.haymans@navy.mil and *Camilla Baker* at camilla.baker@navy.mil using the PPI Log Template, **Attachment A**, as an EXCEL document. Individual replies will not be made to contractors. As answers become available, responses to PPIs will be made by posting an updated PPI log in NECO under the Additional Documents section of the solicitation. Numerous updated PPI logs may be posted throughout the procurement process. It is recommended contractors check NECO periodically to see if additional postings have been made. It is the contractor's responsibility to check NECO for all postings.

NAVY ELECTRONIC COMMERCE ON-LINE (NECO)

Amendments will be posted directly to NECO. The posting of amendments generates an automated message to the contractor's point of contact listed in FedBizOpps, alerting them of the posting. The drawings and specifications will be posted on NECO under the Additional Documents section of the solicitation, in accordance with DFARS 252.236-7001. Additionally, other postings will be made to NECO, such as the Pre-Proposal Inquiry (PPI) Log, revised drawings, attachments, etc., under the Additional Documents section of the solicitation. Postings directly to the Additional Documents section of NECO DO NOT generate an automated alert to the contractors. It is the contractor's responsibility to check NECO for all postings.

PRE-PROPOSAL MEETING AND SITE VISIT

A pre-proposal meeting and site visit will be scheduled for this project via an amendment. Information concerning the site visit will be provided by the amendment and found in provision 52.236-27 SITE VISIT (CONSTRUCTION) (FEB 1995) – ALTERNATE I (FEB 1995) in Section 00100 Bidding Schedule/Instructions to Bidders.

PROPOSAL SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

In response to this request for proposal, the complete proposal shall include both the Price Proposal and the Non-Price Proposal, submitted as follows:

A. Price Proposal and Non-Price Proposal

The Price Proposal and the Non-Price Proposal shall be submitted in accordance with the solicitation. The Price Proposal shall be placed in a sealed envelope if delivered in the same package as the Non-Price Proposal. The front of both the Price and Non-Price Proposals should clearly state:

Type of Proposal (Price or Non-Price)

Original or Copy

RFP Number and Title of Project

Offeror's Name

Offeror's shall include the following information with their price proposal:

- ... Authorized negotiator's (Point of Contact (POC)) name
- ... POC telephone number
- ... POC email address
- ... DUNS Number
- ... CAGE Code
- ... Federal Tax ID Number
- ... Business Size (Large Business or Small Business)
- ... It is recommended to also include an additional POC's contact information

The outside of the proposal package shall be labeled "RFP N69450-16-R-1103, TRITON MISSION CONTROL FACILITY P662 DBB AT NAVAL AIR STATION JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA, ATTN: DIANNE L. HAYMANS, IPT-SA; DO NOT OPEN IN MAIL ROOM".

B. Delivery of Proposal

Address for hand-carried or delivery service such as UPS/FEDEX/USPS:
Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southeast (NAVFAC SE)
ATTN: Dianne L. Haymans, IPT-SA
Ajax Street, BLDG 135N, Rear Entrance
NAS Jacksonville, FL 32212

Hand carried proposals will require access to NAS Jacksonville. If the offeror needs access to NAS Jacksonville, the offeror must complete the NAS JAX Base Access AMAG Form, **Attachment B**, which, for the individual who will be "driving a vehicle" onto the base. Passengers do not have to submit a form. Forms must be submitted via email as an excel attachment (not PDF) no later than **four (4) business days prior to the closing date of the solicitation** to Sandra McClamb at sandra.mcclamb@navy.mil and copy to dianne.haymans@navy.mil.

You must have a valid picture ID, vehicle registration, and proof of insurance. Please arrive early as it may take some time to get the vehicle pass. All passengers in the vehicle must have picture ID. The Security and Pass Office is located at the Main Gate, Yorktown Gate, 1st building on the right. Vehicles without a vehicle pass will not be permitted on the base. Vehicle pass requests received after the deadline will not be accepted. Vehicle drivers must stop at the security and pass office to obtain their vehicle pass.

All visitors **MUST** know the name of the command they are visiting and **MUST** provide the last six numbers of their SSN to the security clerk at the Pass & ID window. Be advised that **ALL** visitors entering NAS Jacksonville are subject to a background investigation. All vehicles are subject to random searches. Visitors may be asked to fill out additional paperwork and Security may make a copy of their DL or ID. If the visitor refuses the investigation, they will be denied access to the base.

Ultimately, it is the contractor's responsibility to ensure timely proposal submission to the specified location. Due to heightened security/traffic, it is recommended that you allow plenty of extra time to get access to base, get into the building, and turn in your proposal.

C. Proposal Due Date

PROPOSALS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO THIS REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL MUST BE RECEIVED NO LATER THAN **JULY 6, 2016 AT 2:30PM EASTERN TIME**. Proposals not received by the time and date specified shall be treated in accordance with Far 52.215-1 "Instruction to Offerors - Competitive Acquisitions" and may be rejected.

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Intentionally left blank

B. DESCRIPTION OF ACQUISITION

1. This acquisition will result in the award of a Firm-Fixed Price (FFP) contract for construction.

2. Triton Mission Control Facility P662 DBB, Naval Air Station, Jacksonville, Florida. This project will construct a low rise shielded steel frame, reinforced concrete masonry unit mission control facility with standing seam metal roof and concrete foundation, strip footing and deep wall masonry foundation slab on grade. The facility will be located adjacent to an existing Triton facility and include primary and redundant Mission Control System (MCS) modules, a server room, mission planning and brief/debrief rooms and a secure equipment vault. The new building will be connected to the existing building via a new enclosure around the existing exterior stair of that facility and a new corridor; access to the new building will be through the existing building only. The MCS components in the new facilities will be independently shielded and be constructed as a Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF) including Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) shielded space. This project will provide Anti-Terrorism/Force Protection (AT/FP) features and comply with ATRFP regulations, and physical security mitigation in accordance with DOD Minimum Anti-Terrorism Standards for Buildings. Construction will require adherence to environmental regulations and permit requirements.

3. Description of the contract award contract line item numbers (CLINS) are as follows:

CLIN 0001: Triton Mission Control Facility P662 Design-Bid-Build

CLIN 0002: Security System Installation for Triton Mission Control Facility P662

CLIN 0003: Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment (FF&E) and Handling and Administrative Rate (HAR) (Planned Modification)

4. The contract completion schedule for the entire project is a total of 410 calendar days from date of contract award.

Liquidated damages will be assessed as follows:

CLIN 0001: \$4,246 per calendar day of delay

CLIN 0002: \$200 per calendar day of delay

CLIN 0003: Included in CLIN 0001

5. Project Magnitude:

CLIN 0001: Between \$5,000,000 and \$10,000,000

CLIN 0002: Between \$100,000 and \$250,000

CLIN 0003: FF&E Budget \$263,340

6. The Government intends to award a contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose proposal represents the best value after evaluation in accordance with the factors and their relative importance in the solicitation.

7. This acquisition is solicited on an unrestricted basis with full and open competition.

II. Intentionally left blank

III. EVALUATION

A. BASIS FOR AWARD

1. In accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) the Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the offeror submitting the proposal determined to represent the best value—the proposal most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered.

2. As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

3. The tradeoff process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition. The Government considers it to be in its best interest to allow consideration of award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror.

4. As stated in the solicitation, all technical factors when combined are of equal importance to the performance confidence assessment (past performance) rating; and all technical factors and the performance confidence assessment (past performance) rating, when combined are approximately equal to price.

5. Any proposal found to have a deficiency in meeting the stated solicitation requirements or performance objectives will be considered ineligible for award, unless the deficiency is corrected through discussions. Proposals may be found to have either a significant weakness or multiple weaknesses that impact either the individual factor rating or the overall rating for the proposal.

6. The Contractor's technical proposal, including revisions and amendments, made prior to contract award and a copy of which is in the possession of both parties, will be incorporated into this contract upon award by reference with the same force and effect as if set forth in full text.

B. *Intentionally left blank*

C. *Intentionally left blank*

D. *Intentionally left blank*

E. *Intentionally left blank*

F. EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

1. The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-cost/price factors:

Factor 1 – Experience

Factor 2 – Technical Solution

Factor 3 – Safety

Factor 4 – Small Business Utilization

Factor 5 – Past Performance on Recent, Relevant Projects

The distinction between experience and past performance is that experience pertains to the volume of work completed by a contractor that are comparable to the types of work described under the definition of recent, relevant projects, in terms of size, scope, and complexity. Past performance pertains to both the relevance of recent efforts and how well a contractor has performed on the contracts.

2. The relative order of importance of the non-cost/price evaluation factors is the technical factors (Factors 1, 2, 3, and 4) are of equal importance to each other and, when combined are equal in importance to the past performance evaluation/performance confidence assessment factor (Factor 5). When the proposal is evaluated as a whole, the technical factors and past performance/performance confidence assessment factor combined (i.e., the non-cost/price evaluation factors) are approximately equal to price.

3. Basis of Evaluation and Submittal Requirements for Each Factor.

(a) Price:

Price proposals shall be submitted separately from the technical proposals. Provide one (1) original, one (1) copy, and one (1) electronic copy (CD) of the solicitation submittal requirements identified below. Proposed pricing is not required to be inserted on the CD of the price proposal, all other price proposal information is required on the CD.

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

(i) Executed SF1442. Offeror shall insert its company name, address, DUNS Number, CAGE Code, and Federal Tax ID number in Block #14, telephone number in Block #15, acknowledge all amendments in Block #19 (if applicable), name and title of person authorized to sign in Block #20A, signature in Block #20B, and offer date in Block #20C of the SF1442.

(ii) Schedule of Prices for Contract Line Items (CLINS) 0001, 0002, and 0003: Triton Mission Control Facility P662, NAS Jacksonville, FL. The price for Contract Line Item Number (CLIN) 0001 (Base Bid) shall be available for 90 days after the proposal due date. The CLIN 0002 price and the CLIN 0003 FF&E HAR percentage shall be available for award for 180 calendar days from task order award.

(iii) Bid bond (SF-24). Offeror shall submit a bid bond (SF24) in the amount of 20% of your total project bid price or \$3,000,000 whichever amount is less.

(iv) SAM registration. Offeror shall ensure current registration on the SAM website, www.sam.gov, including Annual Representations and Certifications are complete and updated for this procurement. If a Representation or Certification required by Section 00600 of the RFP is not provided in SAM, include the Representation or Certification in your price proposal.

(v) Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPPIIS). Offeror shall ensure FAPPIIS Certification as required by FAR 52.209-7, Information Regarding Responsibility Matters (July 2013).

(vi) VETS-4212 Federal Contractor Reporting. Offeror shall ensure a current VETS-4212 report has been submitted to the Department of Labor website <http://www.dol.gov/vets/vets4212.htm>, if the offeror is required to submit. An email confirmation of submission can be requested and received by the offeror from the DOL website and is to be submitted in the price proposal. If the offeror is not required to submit a report to VETS-4212, include a brief statement stating that the offeror is not required to submit a report. Visit the VETS-4212 website for details concerning if your company is required to submit a VETS-4212 report and to request an email confirmation of submission.

Note: The bid bond, SAM, and VETS-4212 registrations must be in the name/CAGE/DUNS of the offeror identified on the SF1442.

(2) Basis of Evaluation: The Government will evaluate price based on the total price. Total price consists of the basic requirement and all option items. The Government intends to evaluate all options and has included the provision FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options (JUL 1990) in the solicitation. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- (i) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
- (ii) Comparison of proposed prices with the IGE.
- (iii) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.
- (iv) Comparison of market survey results.

In accordance with FAR 52.219-4, a price evaluation preference will be given to offerors certified by the U.S. Small Business Administration as a HUBZone Small Business Concern.

(b) Non-cost/price Factors:

Provide one (1) original, three (3) copies, and one (1) electronic copy (CD) of the solicitation submittal requirements for Factors 1, 2, 3, and 5 identified below. In case of variation, original paper submittal takes precedence over electronic or paper copies. Entire proposal shall not exceed 50 pages in length (single-sided only) utilizing a minimum Arial Font of 11 for Factors 1, 2, 3, and 5. Title page, table of contents, dividers, and any pages specifically excluded under each factor do not count towards the total page count and should not be numbered. If page count exceeds 50 single-sided pages, only the first 50 pages will be reviewed. Documentation for JV Agreements, partnerships, subcontractors or teaming arrangements does not count towards the page count.

Provide one (1) original, one (1) copy, and one (1) electronic copy (CD) of the solicitation submittal requirements for Factor 4 Small Business Utilization identified below in a separate envelope. Offerors are encouraged to simply staple and three hole-punch Factor 4 proposal submissions instead of placing in a binder. In case of variation, original paper submittal takes precedence over electronic or paper copies. Factor 4 submission does not count towards the page count.

The Offeror's response to Factors that require the submission of Experience Narrative and Past Performance shall be based on the following relevancy definition:

RELEVANT PROJECT: Relevant projects include those that demonstrate construction experience on projects of similar size, scope, and complexity, performed as the prime construction contractor, with demonstrated construction experience of Secure Compartmented Intelligence Facilities (SCIF) which have been accredited/certified within the past seven (7) years. Projects must have been completed by the offeror within approximately the last seven (7) years from the date of issuance of this RFP and have a value of \$5M or greater.

In addition to the above, one (1) of the submitted projects MUST demonstrate SCIF Construction that includes Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) shielded space (faraday cage) utilizing sheet steel with Radio Frequency (RF) welded seams, accredited/certified within the past seven (7) years.

Relevant Projects that also demonstrate the following experience may be rated more favorably:

- working adjacent to functioning secure facilities maintaining registered personnel and security requirements
- DOD Minimum Anti-Terrorism standards

The Construction Experience Data Sheets (Attachment C) that are requested in Factor 1 Experience must be relevant construction projects. The Past Performance information requested in Factor 5 Past Performance on Recent, Relevant Projects must be for the same construction projects that the Offeror submits for Experience in Factor 1.

(1) Factor 1, Experience:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Submit Construction Experience Data Sheets for a minimum of (2) two to a maximum of (5) five construction projects that the offeror completed and served as the prime construction contractor that best demonstrates experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP.

Relevant projects include those that demonstrate construction experience on projects of similar size, scope, and complexity, performed as the prime construction contractor, with demonstrated construction experience of Secure Compartmented Intelligence Facilities (SCIF) which have been accredited/certified within the past seven (7) years. Projects must have been completed by the offeror within approximately the last seven (7) years from the date of issuance of this RFP and have a value of \$5M or greater.

In addition to the above, one (1) of the submitted projects MUST demonstrate SCIF Construction that includes Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) shielded space (faraday cage) utilizing sheet steel with Radio Frequency (RF) welded seams, accredited/certified within the past seven (7) years.

Relevant Projects that also demonstrate the following experience may be rated more favorably:

- working adjacent to functioning secure facilities maintaining registered personnel and security requirements
- DOD Minimum Anti-Terrorism standards

**Note: Maximum of five (5) projects may be submitted.*

Provide a narrative describing the proposed primary construction firm and the primary subcontractors, including SCIF specialties, for this contract and the rationale for proposing this arrangement. Provide the role, responsibilities, and contractual relationships between the various firms. The narrative shall also include a simple organizational chart that clearly identifies the lines of authority between the entities. The technical narrative shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page or two (2) single-sided pages.

Submitted construction projects must have been performed under a single task order or stand-alone contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project. Offerors shall submit the work performed under a single task order or stand-alone contract as a project.

The attached Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment C) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page or two (2) single-sided pages.

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods).

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects shall be submitted for each Joint Venture partner. Offerors who fail to submit experience for all Joint Venture partners may be rated lower. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

RELEVANT PROJECT: Relevant projects include those that demonstrate construction experience on projects of similar size, scope, and complexity, performed as the prime construction contractor, with demonstrated construction experience of Secure Compartmented Intelligence Facilities (SCIF) which have been accredited/certified within the past seven (7) years. Projects must have been completed by the offeror within approximately the last seven (7) years from the date of issuance of this RFP and have a value of \$5M or greater.

In addition to the above, one (1) of the submitted projects MUST demonstrate SCIF Construction that includes Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) shielded space (faraday cage) utilizing sheet steel with Radio Frequency (RF) welded seams, accredited/certified within the past seven (7) years.

Relevant Projects that also demonstrate the following experience may be rated more favorably:

- working adjacent to functioning secure facilities maintaining registered personnel and security requirements

- DOD Minimum Anti-Terrorism standards

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five (5) projects.

Relevant projects where the Offeror and the proposed primary subcontractor(s) (SCIF) have previously worked together may be considered more favorably.

(2) Factor 2, Technical Solution:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

1. Technical Narrative

Provide a narrative describing the technical approach to the project that meets the requirements of the RFP. Narrative shall focus on the following:

- Approach to safely and successfully completing the project within the requirements in Specification 01 14 00.00 25 WORK RESTRICTIONS.
- Identify the major challenges to performing the work in accordance with the required work restrictions and specialized SCIF construction in the RFP. Describe the preventative measures and/or specific steps the offeror will take to mitigate the impacts of these challenges.

Narrative shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page or two (2) single-sided pages.

2. Schedule

Provide a Critical Path Method (CPM) time scaled logic diagram and schedule reports showing critical path activities using software applications such as SURTRACK or Primavera Project Planner. This schedule shall include key milestones and activities, including submittals, procurement, major construction items, Quality Control and Testing, work requirements as specified in Specification Section 01 14 00.00 25 WORK RESTRICTIONS. The awardees proposed schedule will become the basis for the contractor's initial schedule submission as outlined in Specification Section 01 32 17.00 25 NETWORK ANALYSIS SCHEDULES.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the narrative and schedule considering the extent to which the Offeror demonstrates a clear understanding of the requirements of the project. The Government will evaluate the effectiveness of the technical approach to determine the likelihood that the work will be performed in accordance with the requirements of the RFP.

(3) Factor 3, Safety:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements: The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR): For the three previous complete calendar years 2012, 2013, and 2014, submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three year period). If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate: For the three previous complete calendar years 2012, 2013, and 2014, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach for Safety: Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. Also, describe any innovative methods that the Offeror will employ to ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels. The Safety Narrative shall be limited to two pages.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation: The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record, the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, any and innovative safety methods that the Offeror plans to implement for this procurement. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Facility Accident and Incident Reporting (FAIR) database, and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR): The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate: The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety: The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. The Government will also evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which innovations are being proposed that may enhance safety on this procurement. Those Offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety and who propose innovative methods to enhance a safe working environment may be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(4) Factor 4, Small Business Utilization:

Definitions: "SB" as used herein, is intended to include Small Business concerns, Small Disadvantaged Business concerns (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business concerns (WOSB), Historically Underutilized Business Zone Small Business concerns (HUBZone), Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (VOSB), and Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (SDVOSB). All small business programs are self-certifying programs with the exception of HUBZone certifications, see HUBZone SB Certifications below. Small Business Program requirements and definitions may be found in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), Part 19.

HUBZone SB Certifications: Offerors are reminded that HUBZone SB concerns must obtain formal certification from the Small Business Administration (SBA) if they expect to receive the evaluation benefits associated with the

HUBZone SB programs either as a prime or subcontractor(s). For more information on the HUBZone SB certification requirements and available benefits, contact your local SBA representative. Certified HUBZone SB firms are listed on the U.S. Small Business Administration's Dynamic Small Business Search (DSBS) website at http://web.sba.gov/pro-net/search/dsp_dsbs.cfm. It is the responsibility of the prime contractor to periodically check the DSBS as certifications are subject to change.

PAST PERFORMANCE IN UTILIZATION OF SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements: Proposals that do not include responses addressing ALL elements of the requirements stated below (a. through d.) must include an explanation why that element is not addressed.

- a. Provide performance evaluation ratings (i.e., SF1420, DD2626, or equivalent) obtained on the implementation of small business subcontracting plans for all of the offeror's projects referenced under Factor 5, Past Performance on Recent, Relevant Projects. Recently completed project evaluations are desired, however, in the absence of recently completed project evaluations, interim ratings for projects that are 80% complete may be considered. If more than five evaluation ratings are provided, only the first five will be considered. In addition, the Government may consider past performance information on other projects as made available to the Government from other sources (such as the Construction Contractor Appraisal Support Systems (CCASS)), Architect-Engineer Contract Administration Support System (ACASS) and Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)).
- b. Provide small business subcontracting history. Large businesses with Federal prime contracting experience shall provide final or current Subcontracting Report for Individual Contracts (SF294) or Individual Subcontracting Reports (ISR's) on prime (only) contracts submitted under Factor 5, Past Performance on Recent, Relevant Projects. If Factor 5 submitted contracts are not prime contracts, submit SF294s or ISRs for contracts of similar scope performed as the prime contractor. If goals were not met on any submitted contracts, an explanation for each unmet goal is required. Large Businesses with no documented SF294/ISR history shall submit a subcontracting history on Attachment (D), Small Business Past Performance. If more than five (5) reports are provided, only the first 5 reports will be considered.
- c. Small Business proposers shall provide a subcontracting history on Attachment (D), Small Business Past Performance.
- d. If an Offeror is utilizing past performance information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the solicitation), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies will have meaningful participation of all members in the management of the subcontracting program/plan by identifying the personnel or resources from the member companies that will be dedicated to managing the plan, and an organization chart which demonstrates the reporting chain within the membership.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture, Partnership LLC or other entity consisting of more than one entity, provide past performance information, elements a. through d., for each individual business entity(ies) that will be responsible for managing the subcontracting program/plan.

Proposals including information on any of the following additional elements may be rated higher, based on the evaluated extent to which the information addresses the basis of evaluation in paragraph (ii):

- a. Provide information on national-level, and industry-issued awards that offerors received for outstanding support to SB concerns within the past five (5) years. Include purpose, issuer, and date of award(s). National and industry-issued awards received beyond five (5) years will not be considered.
- b. Provide information on previous, existing, planned or pending mentor-protégé agreements (MPA) under any Federal Government, or other, program held within the last five years. Information should include, at a minimum, the members, objectives, period of performance, and major accomplishments during the MPA.
- c. Provide information on past use of Community Rehabilitation Program (CRP) organizations certified under the AbilityOne Program by SourceAmerica, or the National Industry for the Blind

(NIB). Information should include the contract type, type of work performed, period of performance, and number of employed severely handicapped persons.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The extent to which the proposal demonstrates the proposer's level of past performance in utilizing Small Business (SB) concerns, AbilityOne, Mentor-Protégé Agreements, and other socio-economic programs, as defined in FAR Parts 26.1 and 26.2, in subcontracting, and in meeting established Small Business subcontracting goals.

SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Identify in terms of dollar value and percentage of the total acquisition, the extent of work you will perform as the prime contractor. If submitting an offer as a Joint-Venture, identify the percentage of work each member will be responsible for and indicate the size status of each member, e.g., LB, SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, etc.

If you are a Large Business, submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan for this project in the format provided in Attachment (E), Small Business Subcontracting Plan for this factor, to include all information required in the attachment. If you are a Small Business, submit a subcontracting participation breakdown in the format provided in Attachment (F), Small Business Offeror Small Business Participation Breakdown for this factor. All proposers: To demonstrate commitment in using small business concerns, the Small Business Subcontracting Plan or subcontracting participation breakdown may list all subcontractors by name. If the proposed Small Business Subcontracting goals do not meet the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, include a detailed explanation describing the actions taken to arrive at that determination, along with an explanation for the goals that actually were proposed.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The following will be evaluated on all proposals:

- a. The extent to which the proposal demonstrates maximum practicable participation of SBs in terms of the total value of the acquisition, including options.
- b. The extent to which the proposal demonstrates a commitment to use SB concerns that are specifically identified in the proposal, including but not limited to use of mentor protégé programs.
- c. The extent to which the proposal demonstrates SB participation in a variety of industries expected during the performance of work.
- d. The realism of the proposal to meet the proposed goals.

The following will be evaluated on proposals submitted by Large Business firms:

a. The extent to which the proposal provides Small Business Subcontracting goals that meet or exceed the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, and utilization of AbilityOne CRP organizations. Proposals that provide goals exceeding the NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets may be rated higher. The proposed goals and NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracted values. The minimum NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are as follows:

	FY2016
SB	66.94%
SDB	17.44%
WOSB	15.45%
HUBZone	9.03%

VOSB	3.06%
SDVOSB	3.06%

b. The extent to which the proposer's Small Business Subcontracting Plan establishes reasonable efforts demonstrating the subcontracting targets can be met during the performance of the contract:

A copy of the blank forms to be used for offeror submission of Small Business Utilization are included as follows:

Attachment D – Small Business Past Performance
Attachment E – Small Business Subcontracting Plan
Attachment F – Small Business Offeror Small Business Participation Breakdown

(5) Factor 5, Past Performance on Recent, Relevant Projects:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

RELEVANT PROJECT: Relevant projects include those that demonstrate construction experience on projects of similar size, scope, and complexity, performed as the prime construction contractor, with demonstrated construction experience of Secure Compartmented Intelligence Facilities (SCIF) which have been accredited/certified within the past seven (7) years. Projects must have been completed by the offeror within approximately the last seven (7) years from the date of issuance of this RFP and have a value of \$5M or greater.

In addition to the above, one (1) of the submitted projects MUST demonstrate SCIF Construction that includes Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) shielded space (faraday cage) utilizing sheet steel with Radio Frequency (RF) welded seams, accredited/certified within the past seven (7) years.

Relevant Projects that also demonstrate the following experience may be rated more favorably:

- working adjacent to functioning secure facilities maintaining registered personnel and security requirements
- DOD Minimum Anti-Terrorism standards

IF A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION IS AVAILABLE, IT SHALL BE SUBMITTED WITH THE PROPOSAL. IF THERE IS NOT A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION, the NAVFAC/USACE Past Performance Questionnaire (Form PPQ-0) (PPQ) (Attachment G) included in the solicitation is provided for the offeror or its team members to submit to the client for each project the offeror includes in its proposal for Factor 1, Experience. AN OFFEROR SHALL NOT SUBMIT A PPQ WHEN A COMPLETED CPARS IS AVAILABLE.

IF A CPARS EVALUATION IS NOT AVAILABLE, ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact. Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ (Attachment G), which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, **Dianne L. Haymans**, via email at dianne.haymans@navy.mil prior to proposal closing date. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs or CPARS previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation.

Also include performance recognition documents received within the last seven (7) years such as awards, award fee determinations, customer letters of commendation, and any other forms of performance recognition.

In addition to the above, the Government may review any other sources of information for evaluating past performance. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of team members (partnership, joint venture, teaming arrangement, or parent company/subsidiary/affiliate) identified in the offeror's

proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the offeror.

While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the Offeror.

A copy of the blank Past Performance Questionnaire to be used for requesting client references is included as Attachment (G).

(ii) Basis of Evaluation: The degree to which past performance evaluations and all other past performance information reviewed by the Government (e.g., PPIRS, Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), performance recognition documents, and information obtained from any other source) reflect a trend of satisfactory performance considering:

- A pattern of successful completion of tasks;
- A pattern of deliverables that are timely and of good quality;
- A pattern of cooperativeness and teamwork with the Government at all levels (task managers, contracting officers, auditors, etc.);
- Recency of tasks performed that are identical to, similar to, or related to the task at hand; and
- A respect for stewardship of Government funds

(End of Summary of Changes)