

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 8
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0005	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 03-Jun-2016	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NAVAC SOUTHEAST IPT SOUTH CENTRAL BLDG 135, PO BOX 30 NAS JACKSONVILLE JACKSONVILLE FL 32212-0030		CODE N69450	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N69450-16-R-1605
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 12-Apr-2016
			<input type="checkbox"/>	10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
			<input type="checkbox"/>	10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE		FACILITY CODE		
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of this amendment is to revise Section 00202 of the RFP. Specifically, Section III.E.3.(a)(1)(iii) Bonding (pg 12 of 60) is revised to include a requirement for a bid bond. Section III.E.3.(b)(1) Factor 1 – Experience is revised. Section III.E.3.(b)(1)(i)(1) Construction Experience (pg 13 of 60) is revised to add a definition of a project. Section III.E.3.(b)(1)(ii) Basis of Evaluation second paragraph (pg 14 of 60) is revised to delete the reference to resumes. Resumes are not required. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
			TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED 03-Jun-2016

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

SECTION 00202**I. INTRODUCTION****A. (intentionally left blank)****B. DESCRIPTION OF ACQUISITION**

1. This acquisition will result in the award of a Firm-Fixed Price (FFP), Single Award Indefinite-Delivery/Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) contract for construction (roofing) services at Naval Station (NS) Guantanamo Bay.

2. The work to be performed primarily consists of design-bid-build (DBB) general roofing projects and incidental related roofing work at NS Guantanamo Bay.

3. Provide the description of the basic contract award CLIN(s):

CLIN 0001 – Base Year – DBB General Roofing Projects
CLIN 0002 – Option Year 1 – DBB General Roofing Projects
CLIN 0003 – Option Year 2 – DBB General Roofing Projects
CLIN 0004 – Option Year 3 – DBB General Roofing Projects
CLIN 0005 – Option Year 4 – DBB General Roofing Projects

4. The IDIQ will contain a base year and four (4) option periods for a maximum of sixty (60) months, or an aggregate maximum value of \$65,000,000 for all years, whichever comes first.

5. The Government's cost estimate for this work and total aggregate for all years is not to exceed \$65,000,000. This contract will include a minimum guarantee of \$1,000. There is no yearly limit except for the five year maximum of \$65,000,000. Task orders will be firm-fixed-price, normally in the range of \$150,000 to \$5,000,000 per task order.

6. The Government intends to award a contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose proposal represents the best value after evaluation in accordance with the factors and their relative importance in the solicitation.

7. This acquisition will be solicited on an unrestricted basis with full and open competition.

II. (intentionally left blank)**III. EVALUATION****A. BASIS FOR AWARD**

1. The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the

offeror submitting the proposal determined to represent the best value—the proposal most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered.

2. As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

3. The tradeoff process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition. The Government considers it to be in its best interest to allow consideration of award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror.

4. As stated in the solicitation, all technical factors when combined are of equal importance to the performance confidence assessment (past performance) rating; and all technical factors and the performance confidence assessment (past performance) rating, when combined are approximately equal to price.

5. Any proposal found to have a deficiency in meeting the stated solicitation requirements or performance objectives will be considered ineligible for award, unless the deficiency is corrected through discussions. Proposals may be found to have either a significant weakness or multiple weaknesses that impact either the individual factor rating or the overall rating for the proposal.

B. (intentionally left blank)

C. (intentionally left blank)

D. (intentionally left blank)

E. EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

1. The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-cost/price factors:

- Factor 1 – Experience
- Factor 2 – Technical Approach
- Factor 3 – Safety
- Factor 4 – Past Performance

The distinction between experience and past performance is that experience pertains to the volume of work completed by a contractor that are comparable to the types of work described under the definition of recent, relevant projects, in terms of size, scope, and complexity. Past performance pertains to both the relevance of recent efforts and how well a contractor has performed on the contracts.

2. The relative order of importance of the non-cost/price evaluation factors is the technical factors (Factors, 1, 2, and 3) are of equal importance to each other and, when combined are equal in importance to the past performance evaluation/performance confidence assessment factor (Factor 4). When the proposal is evaluated as a whole, the technical factors and past performance/performance confidence assessment factor combined (i.e., the non-cost/price evaluation factors) are approximately equal to price.

The importance of price will increase if the Offerors' non-cost/price proposals are considered essentially equal in terms of overall quality, or if price is so high as to significantly diminish the value of a non-cost/price proposal's superiority to the Government. Award will be made to the responsible Offeror(s) whose offer conforms to the solicitation and represents the best value to the Government, price and non-price factors considered.

3. Basis of Evaluation and Submittal Requirements for Each Factor.

(a) Price:

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

(i) Executed SF1442. Offeror shall insert its company name and address in Block #14, telephone number in Block #15, acknowledge all amendments in Block #19 (if applicable), name and title of person authorized to sign in Block #20A, signature in Block #20B, and offer date in Block #20C of the SF1442. In addition, offeror shall provide its DUNS Number, CAGE code, and Federal Tax ID number with its proposal. If proposing as a joint venture, offerors shall provide the DUNS Number for the members of the joint venture.

(ii) The offeror shall complete the Exhibit Line Items (ELINs) Price Schedule (Attachment C) and submit in both hardcopy and in electronic (MSEXcel) format.

The total amount for ELINs A001-A162 shall be the amount entered for CLIN 0001 (Base Year). The total amount for ELINs B001-B162 shall be the amount entered for CLIN 0002 (Option Year 1). The total amount for ELINs C001-C162 shall be the amount entered for CLIN 0003 (Option Year 2). The total amount for ELINs D001-D162 shall be the amount entered for CLIN 0004 (Option Year 3). The total amount for ELINs E001-E162 shall be the amount entered for CLIN 0005 (Option Year 4). All unit prices shall be rounded to the second digit behind the decimal (i.e. \$1.00 vice \$1.004).

In the event of a discrepancy between the sum of the unit prices (ELINs) or CLINs and the amount entered as the total price, the sum of the unit prices will take precedence. If the CLIN price for an option increases by more than 10% from a previous year, the offeror shall provide the rationale for the change in pricing.

(iii) Bonding. Offeror shall confirm that it has a single award bonding capacity of at least \$5,000,000 and the ability to bond multiple projects. **In addition, in accordance with NFAS provision 5252.228-9302 Bid Guarantee ALTERNATE I, provide bid bond (SF-24) in a penal sum equal to at least 20 percent of the price payable for the contract guaranteed minimum.**

(iv) SAM registration. Offeror shall ensure current registration on the System for Award Management (SAM) website, www.sam.gov, including Annual Representations and Certifications, are complete and updated for this procurement. SAM registration must be in the name/CAGE/DUNS of the offeror identified on the SF1442. If a Representation or Certification required by Section 00600 of the RFP is not provided in SAM, include the representation or certification in your price proposal.

(v) FAPIIS certification. Offeror shall ensure Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity System (FAPIIS) certification as required by FAR 52.209-7 Information Regarding Responsibility Matters.

(vi) VETS registration. Offeror shall ensure a current VETS-4212 report (previously VETS-100 and/or VETS-100A report) has been submitted to the Department of Labor if the offeror is required to submit one. An email confirmation of successful submission can be requested and received by the offeror from the DOL website and submitted in the price proposal. If the offeror is not required to submit a report to VETS-4212, include a brief statement stating that the offeror is not required to submit a report. Visit the VETS-4212 website for details concerning if your company is required to submit a VETS-4212 report and to request an email confirmation of submission.

Note: The SAM, FAPIIS and VETS-4212 registrations must be in the name, CAGE, and DUNS of the offeror identified on the SF1442.

(2) Basis of Evaluation: The Government will evaluate price based on the total price. Total price consists of the basic requirement (CLIN 0001) and all option items (CLINs 0002 through 0005). Each CLIN price is the total of all individually priced Exhibit Line Items (ELINS) for the respective period of the contract. The Government intends to evaluate all options and has included the provision FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options

(JUL 1990) in the solicitation. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- (i) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
- (ii) Comparison of proposed prices with the IGCE.
- (iii) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.
- (iv) Comparison of market survey results.

(b) Non-cost/price Factors:

(1) **Factor 1, Experience**

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The attached Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment D) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information for this factor. The project description must describe the scope of work performed to demonstrate its relevancy to the applicable requirements of the RFP. Individual blocks on the form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet cannot exceed two single-sided pages.

(1) Construction Experience: Submit Construction Experience Project Data Sheets for a minimum of two to a maximum of five *Relevant Projects*. All projects must meet the definition of a Relevant Project to be considered. If a joint venture/partnership arrangement is being proposed, include copies of agreements and letters of commitment signed by an Officer of each firm with the authority to bind the company. Agreements must include company name, DUNS number, and address, point of contact, email address, phone number and fax numbers. The agreements shall demonstrate the relationship between firms, the percentage of work that each firm will complete, and the type of work each firm will perform. The experience of any member for the joint venture/partnership will be evaluated as that of the Offeror. The Offeror may utilize experience of a subcontractor that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor. Offerors relying on the specialized experience of subcontractors must submit copies of the agreements and letters of commitment. The agreements and letters must be signed by officers with authority to bind the companies, and should clearly identify the company names, DUNS numbers, and point of contact information (address, email, phone, and fax).

DEFINITION OF A RELEVANT PROJECT: Relevant projects include those that demonstrate the capability to replace, and or renovate roofs for general commercial type facilities, including, metal roof, membrane roof, shingles roof. The projects shall have a value of \$200,000 or greater for roofing work (offeror shall annotate the value of the roofing work on the Construction Experience Project Data Sheet). Projects must have been completed within the last five years. Projects may be either general repair and/or replacement, but there must be at least one of each type of project submitted.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the experience of projects submitted for this factor to assess the offeror's capability to meet the requirements of the RFP, the offeror's approach and understanding of the requirement, and the offeror's experience successfully executing and managing Relevant Projects similar in size, scope, and complexity to the requirement.

Projects that are not submitted on the mandatory Construction Experience Project Data Sheet will not be evaluated. If a project form exceeds two pages, only the first two pages will be evaluated.

(2) **Factor 2, Technical Approach**

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

OCONUS Work Plan: Provide a narrative demonstrating the Offeror's ability to successfully carry out construction projects in OCONUS locations. The narrative shall include:

- ... The Offeror's plan for shipping materials and equipment to and from Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. Materials and equipment are not available locally and must be provided at the contractor's expense. Provide a plan of major equipment that is anticipated to be needed, major construction materials, and an anticipated plan for transporting to the worksite.
- ... The Offeror's plan for berthing and medical arrangements. As it is anticipated, no beds will be available; the Contractor shall construct new temporary housing facilities (man camp). Provide a detailed plan of the temporary housing facilities including but not limited to housing capacity, design criteria, occupancy, shipping, delivery, and installation.
- ... The Offeror's medical plan of action. Describe how the Offeror will provide and sustain a healthy workforce which includes all employees and labor force.
- ... The Offeror's plan for Labor acquisition and sustainment. Local labor is unavailable and must be provided at the contractor's expense. Provide a detailed plan of your expectations of the staffing requirements for this project, your source of labor for execution of the work, and management of personnel for the duration of the contract. Offerors that demonstrate prior experience establishing international labor agreements may be evaluated more favorably.
- ... Provide any other aspects of OCONUS work that the Offeror feels is critical to a successful project.

Narrative shall not exceed 3 (3) double-sided pages (or six (6) single-sided pages). Up to three (3) conceptual drawings may be provided to supplement the narrative. Conceptual drawings will not count towards the page limitation.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's OCONUS work plan, considering the extent to which the Offeror demonstrates a clear understanding of the requirements of the project. The Government will evaluate the offerors logistical ability to mobilize and ship materials and equipment through a well thought out Work Plan. The Government will evaluate the effectiveness of the Offeror's technical approach that will give the Government a high level of confidence that the work will be performed in accordance with the technical requirements of the RFP.

(3) **Factor 3, Safety**

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each Contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.)

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

For the three (3) [2013, 2014, and 2015] previous complete calendar years, submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three (3) year period). If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the three (3) [2013, 2014, and 2015] previous complete calendar years, submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. Also, describe any innovative methods that the Offeror will employ to ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels. The Safety narrative shall be limited to two pages.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record, the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, any and innovative safety methods that the Offeror plans to implement for this procurement. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Enterprise Safety Applications Management System (ESAMS), and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. The Government will also evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which innovations are being proposed that may enhance safety on this procurement. Those Offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety and who propose innovative methods to enhance a safe working environment may be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(4) **Factor 4, Past Performance**

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

IF A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION IS AVAILABLE, IT SHALL BE SUBMITTED WITH THE PROPOSAL. IF THERE IS NOT A COMPLETED CPARS EVALUATION, the Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) included in the solicitation is provided for the offeror or its team members to submit to the client for each project the offeror includes in its proposal for Factor 1, Experience. AN OFFEROR SHALL NOT SUBMIT A PPQ WHEN A COMPLETED CPARS IS AVAILABLE.

IF A CPARS EVALUATION IS NOT AVAILABLE, ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact. Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ (Attachment E), which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Robert Woolwine, via email at robert.woolwine@navy.mil prior to proposal closing date. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs or CPARS previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation.

In addition to the above, the Government may review any other sources of information for evaluating past performance. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of team members (partnership, joint venture, teaming arrangement, or parent company/subsidiary/affiliate) identified in the offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the offeror.

While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the Offeror.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The degree to which past performance evaluations and all other past performance information reviewed by the Government (e.g., PPIRS, Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), performance recognition documents, and information obtained from any other source) reflect a trend of satisfactory performance considering:

- A pattern of successful completion of tasks;
- A pattern of deliverables that are timely and of good quality;
- A pattern of cooperativeness and teamwork with the Government at all levels (task managers, contracting officers, auditors, etc.);
- Recency of tasks performed that are identical to, similar to, or related to the task at hand; and
- A respect for stewardship of Government funds

(End of Summary of Changes)